

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Appeal No. 139/2016

Siddhesh Subhash Naik,
H.No. 2267, 'SHRISAI', Near Sanzgiri farm,
Pongirwal, Curchorem-Goa.

.....**Appellant.**

V/s.

1. Public Information Officer (PIO),
The Sports Authority of Goa,
5th floor, Myles High Corporate Hub,
Patto, panaji Goa.
2. The First Appellate Authority,
The Sports Authority of Goa,
5th floor, Myles High Corporate Hub,
Patto, panaji Goa.

.. ..**Respondents**

CORAM:

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Appeal filed on: 25/07/2016
Decided on: 17/02/2017

ORDER

1. This is the second appeal filed by the appellant Shri Siddhesh Naik u/s 19(3) the RTI Act, 2005 on 25/4/16 interalia seeking the direction as from the PIO , The Sports Authority of Goa, Patto, Panaji to furnish the information which was sought vide his application dated 19/1/16 and for invoking penal provisions.
2. In pursuant to the notice, the appellant appeared and on behalf of Respondent No. 1 PIO Mr. Satyawan Talwadkar present and Shri V.M. Prabhu Dessai on behalf of First appellate authority.
3. Information is furnished by PIO during the proceedings at point No. 2 to the appellant. Appellant on going through the information & after verification of the same submits that he is satisfied with information

..2/-

furnished to him by the present PIO.

4. The present PIO submits that he has taken charge as PIO in November 2016 and that Shri. Mingul Fernandes was then acting as PIO at the time when the order was passed by the First appellate authority i.e on 2/6/16 . He further submits that that then PIO Shri Minguel Fernandes has retired from services on superannuation on 30/10/16. Appellant did not press for penal provisions in view of retirement of then PIO Shri Minguel Fernandes. Accordingly Appellant endorsed his say on the reverse of memo of Appeal.
5. In view of the submission of the appellant and the endorsement made by him and as the information furnished to him, to his satisfaction nothing survives to be decided in the present appeal .
6. The appeal disposed accordingly with a liberty to the appellant to seek any further information pertaining to the same subject matter if he so desires.

The proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa

